

Writing Assignment Rubric

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
Format and Grammar	<p>Excellent: Writing is easy to follow and well organized into paragraphs, grammar is perfect, meets expected 500 words, not over 525</p> <p>4.0pts</p>	<p>Good: Writing is clear, grammar is acceptable, word count is at least 450 words</p> <p>3.0pts</p>	<p>Satisfactory: Writing is difficult to follow and grammar is poor/word count is less than 400 words</p> <p>2.0pts</p>	<p>Insufficient: Writing is difficult to follow, grammar is poor/word count is less than 350 words</p> <p>1.0pts</p>	<p>Incomplete: Assignment was not submitted, or submitted</p> <p>0.0pts</p>	4.0pts
Completeness of Writing Submission	<p>Excellent: Addresses all questions and sections thoroughly and completely</p> <p>4.0pts</p>	<p>Good: Addresses all questions, but less weight is given to one or more component</p> <p>3.0pts</p>	<p>Satisfactory: Addresses only part of the question</p> <p>2.0pts</p>	<p>Insufficient: Does not address the question or topic assigned in the assignment</p> <p>1.0pts</p>	<p>Incomplete: Assignment was not submitted, or submitted late</p> <p>0.0pts</p>	4.0pts
Critical Analysis	<p>Excellent: Analysis of topic is thorough, writing reflects deep thought and critical assessment and solid arguments, and information presented is accurate</p> <p>4.0pts</p>	<p>Good: Analysis of topic was thorough, writing reflects general assessment, only some points of discussion topic were addressed, information is mostly accurate</p> <p>3.0pts</p>	<p>Satisfactory: Analysis of topic was superficial, writing reflects low level of engagement, some points of topic were not addressed/information was inaccurate</p> <p>2.0pts</p>	<p>Insufficient: Analysis of topic was superficial or misguided, writing does not reflect deep thought, critical points of the discussion were missing, and information is inaccurate</p> <p>1.0pts</p>	<p>Incomplete: Assignment was not submitted, or submitted late</p> <p>1.0pts</p>	4.0pts
Supporting Research	<p>Excellent: The research provided is supportive of your argument, is from a credible source, is</p>	<p>Good: The research provided is mostly appropriately cited and from credible sources, but writing is</p>	<p>Satisfactory: The research provided is supportive of your argument, but is not appropriately cited,</p>	<p>Insufficient: No citations, writing is speculative, research is misguided</p>	<p>Incomplete: Assignment was not submitted, or submitted late</p>	4.0pts

	appropriately cited, and writing includes solid archaeological examples 4.0pts	mostly speculative (missing archaeological examples) 3.0pts	and writing is speculative 2.0pts	1.0pts	0.0pts	
Assignment Components	Excellent: Intro, body, and conclusion paragraphs are clear and well organized, topic is introduced, discussed/analyzed, and summarized 4.0pts	Good: Intro, body, and conclusion paragraphs are clear, but not well used 3.0pts	Satisfactory: Intro, body and conclusion paragraphs are present but not well organized 2.0pts	Insufficient: Intro, body, and conclusion paragraphs are missing/not clear 1.0pts	Incomplete: Assignment was not submitted, or submitted late 0.0pts	4.0pts
Total Points: 20.0						